

A generalized Harish-Chandra isomorphism

Sergey Khoroshkin^{a,b}, Maxim Nazarov^c, Ernest Vinberg^d

^a*Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow 117259, Russia*

^b*Department of Mathematics, Higher School of Economics, Moscow 117312, Russia*

^c*Department of Mathematics, University of York, York YO10 5DD, England*

^d*Department of Mathematics, Moscow State University, Moscow 119992, Russia*

Abstract

For any complex reductive Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and any locally finite $\mathfrak{g}$ -module V , we extend to the tensor product $U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes V$ the Harish-Chandra description of $\mathfrak{g}$ -invariants in the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$.

Keywords: Chevalley theorem, Harish-Chandra isomorphism, Zhelobenko operator

Introduction

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a complex semisimple Lie algebra with a given decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}_- \oplus \mathfrak{t} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+$ to a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{t}$ and the nilpotent radicals $\mathfrak{n}_+$ and $\mathfrak{n}_-$ of two opposite Borel subalgebras containing $\mathfrak{t}$. Take the projection of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$ to $U(\mathfrak{t})$ parallel to $\mathfrak{n}_-U(\mathfrak{g}) + U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{n}_+$. Harish-Chandra proved [6] that the restriction of the projection map to the center $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ establishes an isomorphism of $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ with the subalgebra of invariants in $U(\mathfrak{t})$ relative to the shifted action of the Weyl group W of $\mathfrak{g}$. In the graded commutative setting, the Harish-Chandra theorem reduces to the Chevalley theorem, which states that restriction from $\mathfrak{g}$ to $\mathfrak{t}$ yields an isomorphism between the algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ -invariant polynomial functions on $\mathfrak{g}$ and the algebra of W -invariant polynomial functions on $\mathfrak{t}$.

Now let V be any $\mathfrak{g}$ -module which is a direct sum of its irreducible finite-dimensional submodules. Take the tensor product $M = U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes V$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules, and consider its subspace of invariants $M^{\mathfrak{g}}$. We provide an explicit description of this subspace, thus generalizing the Harish-Chandra theorem. Namely, the vector space M has a natural $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -bimodule structure, see the definitions (2),(3). The diagonal action of $\mathfrak{g}$ on M coincides with the action adjoint to the $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -bimodule structure. Consider the quotient vector space $Z = M/(\mathfrak{n}_-M + M\mathfrak{n}_+)$ and the projection map $M \rightarrow Z$. This map turns out to be injective on the subspace $M^{\mathfrak{g}} \subset M$, and we describe the image of this subspace in Z .

Let r be the rank of $\mathfrak{g}$, and let $\Delta \subset \mathfrak{t}^*$ be the root system of $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r \in \Delta$ be simple roots, and let $s_1, \dots, s_r \in W$ be the corresponding simple reflections. For each $i = 1, \dots, r$ let $H_i = \alpha_i^\vee \in \mathfrak{t}$ be the coroot corresponding to α_i . Let $E_i \in \mathfrak{n}_+$ and $F_i \in \mathfrak{n}_-$ be root vectors corresponding to the roots α_i and $-\alpha_i$. Then let $\mathfrak{g}_i$ be the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -subalgebra spanned by E_i, F_i, H_i . For each $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ let V_{ij} be the sum of $(2j+1)$ -dimensional irreducible $\mathfrak{g}_i$ -submodules of V . For any given i the zero weight subspace $V^0 \subset V$ relative to $\mathfrak{t}$ is a direct sum over $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ of the intersections $V^0 \cap V_{ij}$.

The quotient vector space Z can be identified with the tensor product $U(\mathfrak{t}) \otimes V$. We prove that an element of $Z = U(\mathfrak{t}) \otimes V$ lies in the image of $M^\mathfrak{g}$ if and only if for each $i = 1, \dots, r$ it can be written as a finite sum of products of the form $\Theta \Psi_{ij} \otimes v$ where $v \in V^0 \cap V_{ij}$, $\Theta \in U(\mathfrak{t})$ is invariant under the shifted action of $s_i \in W$, and

$$\Psi_{ij} = (H_i + 2)(H_i + 3) \dots (H_i + j + 1).$$

This description of the image of $M^\mathfrak{g}$ in $Z = U(\mathfrak{t}) \otimes V$ has a graded commutative version, which generalizes the Chevalley restriction theorem. Namely, let $S(\mathfrak{g})$ be the symmetric algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. Take the tensor product $S(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes V$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules. The projection map

$$S(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow S(\mathfrak{g}) / (\mathfrak{n}_- + \mathfrak{n}_+) S(\mathfrak{g}) = S(\mathfrak{t})$$

yields a map $S(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes V \rightarrow S(\mathfrak{t}) \otimes V$, which is injective on the subspace of $\mathfrak{g}$ -invariants. We prove that the image of this subspace in $S(\mathfrak{t}) \otimes V$ consists of all W -invariant elements $F \in S(\mathfrak{t}) \otimes V^0$ satisfying the following property: for every $i = 1, \dots, r$ the projection of F to each $S(\mathfrak{t}) \otimes (V^0 \cap V_{ij})$ with $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ is divisible by H_i^j in the first tensor factor. Here we use the standard actions of the Weyl group W on $S(\mathfrak{t})$ and on V^0 . A criterion on V , for all W -invariant elements of $S(\mathfrak{t}) \otimes V^0$ to be images of $\mathfrak{g}$ -invariant elements of $S(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes V$, was given by Broer [3]. The sufficiency of Broer's condition also follows from our result, see Remark 3.

We also give another description of the image of $M^\mathfrak{g}$ in Z , which does not need splitting V into the sum of its $\mathfrak{g}_i$ -isotypical components. Let $\overline{U(\mathfrak{t})}$ be the ring of fractions of the commutative algebra $U(\mathfrak{t})$ relative to the set of denominators (5). Consider the left $\overline{U(\mathfrak{t})}$ -module

$$\overline{Z} = \overline{U(\mathfrak{t})} \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{t})} Z$$

where we use the left action of $U(\mathfrak{t})$ on Z . If the quotient vector space Z is identified with $U(\mathfrak{t}) \otimes V$, then $\overline{Z}$ is identified with $\overline{U(\mathfrak{t})} \otimes V$. The right action of $U(\mathfrak{t})$ on Z also extends to a right action of $\overline{U(\mathfrak{t})}$ on $\overline{Z}$.

We use certain linear operators $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_r$ on $\overline{Z}$, which we call the Zhelobenko operators. They originate from the *extremal cocycle* on the Weyl group W , defined in [20]. Using this cocycle, for any pair $(\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g})$ with a finite-dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{f}$ containing $\mathfrak{g}$, Zhelobenko constructed a resolution of the subspace $N^{\mathfrak{n}_+}$ of $\mathfrak{n}_+$ -invariants of any $\mathfrak{f}$ -module N . Here N is regarded as a $\mathfrak{g}$ -module by restriction. In their present form, the operators $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_r$ have been defined by Khoroshkin and Ogievetsky [9] as automorphisms of Mickelsson algebras [12]. They satisfy the braid relations corresponding to $\mathfrak{g}$. This braid group action is closely related to the *dynamical Weyl group action* due to Etingof, Tarasov and Varchenko [5, 18].

The Zhelobenko operators $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r$ on $\overline{Z}$ preserve the zero weight subspace $\overline{Z}^0$ relative to the adjoint action of $\mathfrak{t}$ on $\overline{Z}$, and moreover are involutive on this subspace. So we get an action of the Weyl group W on $\overline{Z}^0$, such that each $s_i \in W$ acts as the operator ξ_i . We prove that the image of $M^\mathfrak{g}$ in Z consists of all elements of $Z \cap \overline{Z}^0$ which are invariant under the latter action of W .

This result is remarkably similar in spirit to those of Kostant and Tirao [11], who studied the subalgebra $U(\mathfrak{f})^\mathfrak{g} \subset U(\mathfrak{f})$ where $\mathfrak{f}$ and $\mathfrak{g}$ are the complexified Lie algebras of a real

connected semisimple Lie group and of its maximal compact subgroup respectively. In [11] the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ -invariants $U(\mathfrak{f})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ was mapped injectively to the tensor product $U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U(\mathfrak{a})$ where $\mathfrak{a}$ is the Cartan subalgebra of the symmetric pair $(\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g})$. To describe the image of $U(\mathfrak{f})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ under this map, a version of the intertwining operators of Knapp and Stein [10] was used in [11], instead of the shifted action of the Weyl group of $(\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g})$. A certain localization of the ring $U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U(\mathfrak{a})$ was also used in [11]. This result has been generalized by Oda [15].

We will work in a setting slightly more general than used in the beginning of this section. Our $\mathfrak{g}$ will be any reductive complex Lie algebra. Then we will fix a connected reductive complex algebraic group G with the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Our V will be any G -module which can be decomposed into a direct sum of irreducible finite-dimensional G -submodules. We regard $M = U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes V$ as a G -module, and study its subspace of G -invariants. Our Theorem 1 and Proposition 4 describe this subspace explicitly. Theorem 2 is a graded commutative version of this description. We give a geometric proof of Theorem 2. Then we prove Theorem 1, and extend it to disconnected algebraic groups.

Our work is motivated by the publication [7], which was inspired by the results of Tarasov and Varchenko [18]. The publication [7] established a correspondence between Zhelobenko automorphisms of certain Mickelsson algebras, and canonical intertwining operators of tensor products of the fundamental representations of Yangians. Namely, these are the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ of the general linear Lie algebra, and its twisted analogues $Y(\mathfrak{sp}_n)$ and $Y(\mathfrak{so}_n)$ which correspond to the symplectic and orthogonal Lie algebras. For an introduction to the theory of Yangians see the recent book by Molev [13].

In a forthcoming publication [8] of the first two authors of the present article, Theorem 1 is used to solve a basic problem in the representation theory of Yangians. Up to the action of the centre of $Y(\mathfrak{sp}_n)$, every irreducible finite-dimensional $Y(\mathfrak{sp}_n)$ -module is realized as the image of an intertwining operator of tensor products of fundamental representations of $Y(\mathfrak{sp}_n)$. For the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ such realizations were provided by Akasaka and Kashiwara [1], by Cherednik [4], and by Nazarov and Tarasov [14]. Our Theorem 1 yields new proofs of these results for $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$. For the twisted Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{so}_n)$, the images of our intertwining operators realize, up to the action of the centre of the algebra $Y(\mathfrak{so}_n)$, all those irreducible finite-dimensional representations, where the action of the subalgebra $U(\mathfrak{so}_n)$ of $Y(\mathfrak{so}_n)$ integrates to an action of the complex special orthogonal group SO_n .

1. Notation

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be any reductive complex Lie algebra of semisimple rank r . Choose a *triangular decomposition*

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}_- \oplus \mathfrak{t} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+$$

where $\mathfrak{t}$ is a Cartan subalgebra, while $\mathfrak{n}_+$ and $\mathfrak{n}_-$ are the nilpotent radicals of two opposite Borel subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}$ containing $\mathfrak{t}$. Let $\Delta \subset \mathfrak{t}^*$ be the root system of $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r \in \Delta$ be simple roots.

For each $i = 1, \dots, r$ let $H_i = \alpha_i^\vee \in \mathfrak{t}$ be the coroot corresponding to the simple root α_i . Let $E_i \in \mathfrak{n}_+$ and $F_i \in \mathfrak{n}_-$ be root vectors corresponding to the roots α_i and $-\alpha_i$. We assume that $[E_i, F_i] = H_i$.

Let W be the Weyl group of the root system Δ . Let $s_1, \dots, s_r \in W$ be the reflections corresponding to the simple roots $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$. Let ρ be the half-sum of the positive roots. Then the *shifted action* $\circ$ of the group W on the vector space $\mathfrak{t}^*$ is defined by setting

$$w \circ \lambda = w(\lambda + \rho) - \rho.$$

In particular,

$$s_i \circ \lambda = s_i(\lambda + \alpha_i).$$

The action $\circ$ extends to an action of W by automorphisms of the symmetric algebra $S(\mathfrak{t}) = U(\mathfrak{t})$, by regarding elements of this algebra as polynomial functions on $\mathfrak{t}^*$:

$$(w \circ \Phi)(\lambda) = \Phi(w^{-1} \circ \lambda) \quad \text{for } \Phi \in U(\mathfrak{t}).$$

Note that $s_i \circ H_i = -H_i - 2$. It follows that for the elements Ψ_{ij} defined in the Introduction

$$s_i \circ \Psi_{ij} = (-1)^j H_i(H_i - 1) \dots (H_i - j + 1). \quad (1)$$

For any $\mathfrak{g}$ -module M we denote by M^0 its zero weight subspace relative to $\mathfrak{t}$.

Let G be a connected reductive complex algebraic group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Let V be a locally finite G -module. This means that V can be decomposed into a direct sum of irreducible finite-dimensional G -submodules. Put $M = U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes V$. Since the adjoint action of the group G on $U(\mathfrak{g})$ is locally finite, the same holds for the diagonal action of G on M . We will also consider the action of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ on M corresponding to that of G .

We will also regard M as a $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -bimodule by using the left and right actions defined for $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ by the formulas

$$X(u \otimes v) = (Xu) \otimes v, \quad (2)$$

$$(u \otimes v)X = (uX) \otimes v - u \otimes (Xv) \quad (3)$$

where $u \in U(\mathfrak{g})$, $v \in V$. Then the initial (diagonal) action of $\mathfrak{g}$ on M coincides with the action *ad adjoint* to the $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -bimodule structure:

$$(\text{ad} X)m = Xm - mX \quad \text{for } X \in \mathfrak{g} \quad \text{and } m \in M.$$

Note that M is a free left and a free right $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -module. Further, M admits the following decomposition into a direct sum of $U(\mathfrak{t})$ -bimodules:

$$M = U(\mathfrak{t}) \otimes V \oplus (\mathfrak{n}_- M + M \mathfrak{n}_+), \quad (4)$$

see [9, Proposition 3.3]. Set $Z = M/(\mathfrak{n}_- M + M \mathfrak{n}_+)$. By (4), the restriction of the projection $M \rightarrow Z$ to the subspace $U(\mathfrak{t}) \otimes V \subset M$ provides a bijection

$$U(\mathfrak{t}) \otimes V \rightarrow Z.$$

Moreover, Z is a free left and a free right $U(\mathfrak{t})$ -module. Let $Z^0 \subset Z$ be the zero weight subspace relative to the adjoint action of $\mathfrak{t}$.

For each root $\alpha \in \Delta$ let $H_\alpha = \alpha^\vee \in \mathfrak{t}$ be the corresponding coroot. Denote by $\overline{U(\mathfrak{t})}$ the ring of fractions of the commutative algebra $U(\mathfrak{t})$ relative to the set of denominators

$$\{H_\alpha + k \mid \alpha \in \Delta, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}. \quad (5)$$

If the elements of $U(\mathfrak{t})$ are regarded as polynomial functions on $\mathfrak{t}^*$, then the elements of $\overline{U(\mathfrak{t})}$ can be regarded as rational functions on $\mathfrak{t}^*$. The shifted action $\circ$ of the Weyl group W on $U(\mathfrak{t})$ extends to $\overline{U(\mathfrak{t})}$.

Let $\overline{U(\mathfrak{g})}$ be the ring of fractions of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ relative to the set of denominators (5). Put

$$\overline{M} = \overline{U(\mathfrak{g})} \otimes V.$$

The left action of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ on M extends to an action of $\overline{U(\mathfrak{g})}$ on $\overline{M}$ in a natural way, via left multiplication in the first tensor factor of $\overline{M}$.

Since M is a locally finite $\mathfrak{g}$ -module, it is spanned by its weight vectors relative to $\mathfrak{t}$. For any weight vector $m \in M$ and any $\alpha \in \Delta$ there is $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $mH_\alpha = (H_\alpha + l)m$. Then by setting

$$m(H_\alpha + k)^{-1} = (H_\alpha + k + l)^{-1}m$$

for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we extend the right action of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ on M to a right action of $\overline{U(\mathfrak{g})}$ on $\overline{M}$. Thus the space $\overline{M}$ becomes an $\overline{U(\mathfrak{g})}$ -bimodule. It is obviously free as a left and as a right $\overline{U(\mathfrak{g})}$ -module.

The decomposition (4) extends to the following decomposition of $\overline{M}$ into a direct sum of $\overline{U(\mathfrak{t})}$ -bimodules:

$$\overline{M} = \overline{U(\mathfrak{t})} \otimes V \oplus (\mathfrak{n}_- \overline{M} + \overline{M} \mathfrak{n}_+). \quad (6)$$

Set

$$\overline{Z} = \overline{M} / (\mathfrak{n}_- \overline{M} + \overline{M} \mathfrak{n}_+).$$

Note that

$$(\mathfrak{n}_- \overline{M} + \overline{M} \mathfrak{n}_+) \cap M = \mathfrak{n}_- M + M \mathfrak{n}_+.$$

Thus we have a natural embedding $Z \rightarrow \overline{Z}$. Due to (6), the restriction of the canonical map $\overline{M} \rightarrow \overline{Z}$ to the subspace $\overline{U(\mathfrak{t})} \otimes V \subset \overline{M}$ provides a bijection

$$\overline{U(\mathfrak{t})} \otimes V \rightarrow \overline{Z}.$$

Using this bijection, the above embedding $Z \rightarrow \overline{Z}$ corresponds to the natural embedding $U(\mathfrak{t}) \rightarrow \overline{U(\mathfrak{t})}$. Moreover, $\overline{Z}$ is a free left and a free right $\overline{U(\mathfrak{t})}$ -module. Let $\overline{Z}^0 \subset \overline{Z}$ be the zero weight subspace relative to the adjoint action of $\mathfrak{t}$.

Let T be the maximal torus of the group G with the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{t}$. Let $\text{Norm}(T)$ be the normalizer of T in G . The adjoint action of the group $\text{Norm}(T)$ on $\mathfrak{t}$ establishes an isomorphism

$$\text{Norm}(T)/T \rightarrow W.$$

Since this action preserves the set of coroots, the induced action of $\text{Norm}(T)$ on $U(\mathfrak{t})$ extends to its action on $\overline{U(\mathfrak{t})}$, so that for any $w \in W$

$$(w\Phi)(\lambda) = \Phi(w^{-1}(\lambda)),$$

when the element $\Phi \in \overline{U(\mathfrak{t})}$ is regarded as a rational function on $\mathfrak{t}^*$. The adjoint action of $\text{Norm}(T)$ on $U(\mathfrak{g})$ then extends to its action by automorphisms of $\overline{U(\mathfrak{g})}$, and the adjoint action of $\text{Norm}(T)$ on M extends to a $\overline{U(\mathfrak{g})}$ -bimodule equivariant action of $\text{Norm}(T)$ on $\overline{M}$.

For each $i = 1, \dots, r$ let $\mathfrak{g}_i$ denote the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ spanned by the elements E_i, F_i, H_i . Let G_i be the corresponding connected subgroup of G . Choose a representative of s_i in $\text{Norm}(T)$ lying in G_i and denote it by $\tilde{s}_i$. The elements $\tilde{s}_1, \dots, \tilde{s}_r \in \text{Norm}(T)$ satisfy the braid relations

$$\underbrace{\tilde{s}_i \tilde{s}_j \tilde{s}_i \dots}_{m_{ij}} = \underbrace{\tilde{s}_j \tilde{s}_i \tilde{s}_j \dots}_{m_{ij}} \quad \text{for } i \neq j,$$

where m_{ij} is the order of the element $s_i s_j$ in the group W , see [19].

2. Zhelobenko operators

For $i = 1, \dots, r$ define a linear map $\eta_i : M \rightarrow \overline{M}$ by setting $\eta_i(m)$ for any $m \in M$ to be

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k! H_i(H_i - 1) \dots (H_i - k + 1))^{-1} E_i^k (\text{ad } F_i)^k \tilde{s}_i(m). \quad (7)$$

Since the adjoint action of $\mathfrak{g}$ on M is locally finite, for any given $m \in M$ only finitely many terms of the sum (7) may differ from zero. Hence the map η_i is well defined. The definition (7) and the next two propositions go back to [20, Section 2]. See [7, Section 3] for detailed proofs of these two propositions.

Proposition 1. *We have $\eta_i(\mathfrak{n}_- M + M \mathfrak{n}_+) \subset (\mathfrak{n}_- \overline{M} + \overline{M} \mathfrak{n}_+)$.*

Due to this proposition, the map η_i induces a linear map $\xi_i : Z \rightarrow \overline{Z}$.

Proposition 2. *For any $\Phi \in U(\mathfrak{t})$ and $z \in Z$ we have*

$$\xi_i(\Phi z) = (s_i \circ \Phi) \xi_i(z).$$

The latter proposition allows us to extend ξ_i to $\overline{Z}$ by setting

$$\xi_i(\Phi z) = (s_i \circ \Phi) \xi_i(z) \quad \text{for } \Phi \in \overline{U(\mathfrak{t})} \quad \text{and } z \in Z. \quad (8)$$

In their present form, the operators ξ_i were introduced in [9]. We call them the *Zhelobenko operators*. The next proposition states the key property of these operators. For its proof see [20, Section 6]. Note that our notation differs from that used in [7, 9, 20].

Proposition 3. *The operators $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r$ on $\overline{Z}$ satisfy the braid relations*

$$\underbrace{\xi_i \xi_j \xi_i \dots}_{m_{ij}} = \underbrace{\xi_j \xi_i \xi_j \dots}_{m_{ij}} \quad \text{for } i \neq j.$$

The squares of the Zhelobenko operators are given by the formula

$$\xi_i^2(z) = (H_i + 1) \tilde{s}_i^2(z) (H_i + 1)^{-1} \quad \text{for } z \in \bar{Z},$$

see [9, Corollary 7.5]. Since $\tilde{s}_i^2 \in T$, the squares $\tilde{s}_i^2$ and hence ξ_i^2 act trivially on the zero weight subspace $\bar{Z}^0 \subset \bar{Z}$. This means that the restrictions of operators $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r$ to $\bar{Z}^0$ define an action of the Weyl group W . Note that, when applying the operator ξ_i to the coset

$$z = m + (\mathfrak{n}_- M + M \mathfrak{n}_+) \in Z$$

of any $m \in M$, one can replace E_i by $\text{ad } E_i$ in (7). That is, modulo $\mathfrak{n}_- \bar{M} + \bar{M} \mathfrak{n}_+$, the sum (7) equals

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k! H_i (H_i - 1) \dots (H_i - k + 1))^{-1} (\text{ad } E_i)^k (\text{ad } F_i)^k \tilde{s}_i(m).$$

3. Harish-Chandra isomorphism

By regarding $Z^0 \subset Z$ as a subspace of $\bar{Z}$, let Q be the subspace of all elements of Z^0 invariant under all the Zhelobenko operators :

$$Q = \{ z \in Z^0 \mid \xi_i(z) = z \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, r \}.$$

Now consider the subspace M^G of G -invariants in M . Define the linear map $\gamma : M^G \rightarrow Z$ as the restriction to M^G of the canonical projection $M \rightarrow Z$. It immediately follows from the definition of the maps η_i that $\eta_i(m) = m$ for any $m \in M^G$. Hence $\gamma(M^G) \subset Q$. The following theorem is the main result of this paper.

Theorem 1. *The map γ is injective and its image $\gamma(M^G)$ equals Q .*

A proof of this theorem will be given in Section 6.

When $V = \mathbb{C}$ and $M = U(\mathfrak{g})$, our theorem reproduces the classical description of the centre of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$ due to Harish-Chandra [6]. In the general case, we will call the map $\gamma : M^G \rightarrow Q$ the *Harish-Chandra isomorphism* for $M = U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes V$.

Remark 1. To justify this terminology further, let us consider the special case of our general setting, when M is also an associative algebra which contains $U(\mathfrak{g})$ as a subalgebra, such that the $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -bimodule structure on M comes from the multiplication in M . As an algebra, M is then generated by its subspaces $\mathfrak{g}$ and $1 \otimes V$, and we have the commutation relations

$$[X, 1 \otimes v] = 1 \otimes (Xv) \quad \text{for } X \in \mathfrak{g} \text{ and } v \in V.$$

The group G then acts on M by algebra automorphisms, and the subspace $M^G \subset M$ is a subalgebra. The vector subspaces $\mathfrak{n}_- M$ and $M \mathfrak{n}_+$ of M now become the right and left ideals generated by $\mathfrak{n}_-$ and $\mathfrak{n}_+$ respectively. Multiplication of the cosets in Z of elements of M^G

by using the algebra structure on M is now well defined. The map $\gamma : M^G \rightarrow Q$ becomes an isomorphism of algebras.

Furthermore, one can equip the vector space $\bar{Z}$ with a natural structure of an associative algebra, such that the subspace $Q \subset \bar{Z}$ with the above defined multiplication is a subalgebra. Namely, there is a certain completion $\widetilde{U(\mathfrak{g})}$ of the algebra $\overline{U(\mathfrak{g})}$ and a unique element P of this completion such that

$$\begin{aligned} P^2 &= P, \quad \mathbf{n}_+ P = P \mathbf{n}_- = 0, \\ P &\in (1 + \mathbf{n}_- \widetilde{U(\mathfrak{g})}) \cap (1 + \widetilde{U(\mathfrak{g})} \mathbf{n}_+). \end{aligned}$$

The element P is called the *extremal projector* for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$; its definition is due to R. Asherova, Y. Smirnov and V. Tolstoy [2]. Multiplication in $\bar{Z}$ is defined by setting the product of the cosets of two elements $m, n \in \bar{M}$ to be the coset of $m P n$. Then $\bar{Z}$ is called the *Mickelsson algebra*, see [9, Section 3] for details of this definition, and for links of $\bar{Z}$ to other Mickelsson algebras. Each ξ_i is an automorphism of the algebra $\bar{Z}$ by [9, Section 5]. The projection $\gamma : M^G \rightarrow \bar{Z}$ becomes an injective homomorphism of algebras with the image equal to Q .

4. Description of the space Q

In this section, we identify the vector space V with the image of the subspace $1 \otimes V \subset \bar{M}$ under the canonical projection $\bar{M} \rightarrow \bar{Z}$. Then we can write $Z = U(\mathfrak{t})V$ and $Z^0 = U(\mathfrak{t})V^0$. If we decompose V into a sum of irreducible $\mathfrak{g}_i$ -submodules, then the zero weight subspace V^0 will lie in the sum of odd-dimensional summands of V . For each $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ denote by V_{ij} the sum of $(2j+1)$ -dimensional irreducible $\mathfrak{g}_i$ -submodules of V . It follows from (8) and the observation made at the very end of Section 2, that

$$\xi_i(U(\mathfrak{t})V_{ij}) \subset \overline{U(\mathfrak{t})}V_{ij}.$$

Hence for each $i = 1, \dots, r$ the subspace $Q \subset U(\mathfrak{t})V^0$ is the sum of its intersections with the subspaces $U(\mathfrak{t})(V^0 \cap V_{ij})$.

Take any vector $v \in V^0 \cap V_{ij}$. Then $\tilde{s}_i(v) = (-1)^j v$ and for each $k = 0, 1, \dots, j$

$$(\text{ad } E_i)^k (\text{ad } F_i)^k v = (j-k+1)(j-k+2) \dots (j+k-1)(j+k) v,$$

while for $k > j$ we have

$$(\text{ad } E_i)^k (\text{ad } F_i)^k v = 0.$$

Hence

$$\xi_i(v) = (-1)^j \left(\sum_{k=0}^j \frac{(j-k+1)(j-k+2) \dots (j+k-1)(j+k)}{k! H_i(H_i-1) \dots (H_i-s+1)} \right) v.$$

The sum in brackets is a particular value $F(-j, j+1, -H_i; 1)$ of the hypergeometric function F . By the Gauss formula

$$F(a, b, c; 1) = \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c-a-b)}{\Gamma(c-a)\Gamma(c-b)}$$

8

valid for $a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}$ with $c \neq 0, -1, \dots$ and $\operatorname{Re}(c - a - b) > 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_i(v) &= (-1)^j \frac{\Gamma(-H_i)\Gamma(-H_i-1)}{\Gamma(-H_i+j)\Gamma(-H_i-j-1)} v \\ &= (-1)^j \frac{(H_i+2)(H_i+3)\dots(H_i+j+1)}{H_i(H_i-1)\dots(H_i-j+1)} v = \frac{\Psi_{ij}}{s_i \circ \Psi_{ij}} v\end{aligned}$$

where Ψ_{ij} has been defined in the Introduction. It now follows from Proposition 2 that for any $\Phi \in U(\mathfrak{t})$ we have

$$\xi_i(\Phi v) = (s_i \circ \Phi) \frac{\Psi_{ij}}{s_i \circ \Psi_{ij}} v.$$

In particular, the equality $\xi_i(\Phi v) = \Phi v$ holds if and only if

$$(s_i \circ \Phi) \Psi_{ij} = \Phi (s_i \circ \Psi_{ij}).$$

But it follows from (1) that Ψ_{ij} and $s_i \circ \Psi_{ij}$ are mutually prime as polynomials on $\mathfrak{t}^*$. Hence the last displayed equality holds if and only if Φ is divisible by Ψ_{ij} and the ratio Φ/Ψ_{ij} is invariant under the shifted action of s_i . Thus we get

Proposition 4. *An element $z \in Z^0 = U(\mathfrak{t})V^0$ lies in the subspace Q if and only if for each $i = 1, \dots, r$ one can write z as a finite sum of products of the form $\Theta \Psi_{ij} v$ where $v \in V^0 \cap V_{ij}$, $\Theta \in U(\mathfrak{t})$ and $s_i \circ \Theta = \Theta$.*

5. Symmetric algebra calculation

Let $S(\mathfrak{g})$ be the symmetric algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. Consider the filtration on the $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -bimodule $M = U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes V$ arising from the natural filtration on the algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$. Let $\operatorname{gr} M = S(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes V$ be the associated graded $S(\mathfrak{g})$ -module. The action of the group G on M induces an action of G on $\operatorname{gr} M$, which coincides with the diagonal action of G on $S(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes V$. The graded subspace of $\operatorname{gr} M$ associated to M^G is $(\operatorname{gr} M)^G$.

The graded space associated to $Z = M/(\mathfrak{n}_- M + M \mathfrak{n}_+)$ is

$$\operatorname{gr} Z = \operatorname{gr} M / ((\mathfrak{n}_- + \mathfrak{n}_+) \operatorname{gr} M) = (S(\mathfrak{g})/(\mathfrak{n}_- + \mathfrak{n}_+) S(\mathfrak{g})) \otimes V.$$

This space inherits a structure of an $S(\mathfrak{t})$ -module. By identifying the quotient vector space $S(\mathfrak{g})/(\mathfrak{n}_- + \mathfrak{n}_+) S(\mathfrak{g})$ with $S(\mathfrak{t})$, we can identify $\operatorname{gr} Z$ with $S(\mathfrak{t}) \otimes V$. Then $\operatorname{gr} Z^0$ gets identified with $S(\mathfrak{t}) \otimes V^0$.

The map $\gamma : M^G \rightarrow Z$ defined in Section 3 induces a map $\operatorname{gr} \gamma : (\operatorname{gr} M)^G \rightarrow \operatorname{gr} Z$, which is nothing but the restriction to $(\operatorname{gr} M)^G$ of the canonical projection $\operatorname{gr} M \rightarrow \operatorname{gr} Z$. Note that, when passing to the graded objects, the shifted action of the Weyl group W on $U(\mathfrak{t})$ becomes its usual action on $S(\mathfrak{t})$. Obviously, the image of $\operatorname{gr} \gamma$ lies in $(\operatorname{gr} Z^0)^W$. The next theorem describes this image precisely.

Theorem 2. *The map $\text{gr } \gamma$ is injective. Its image consists of all W -invariant elements $F \in \text{gr } Z^0 = \mathbf{S}(\mathfrak{t}) \otimes V^0$ such that for each $i = 1, \dots, r$ and $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ the projection of F to $\mathbf{S}(\mathfrak{t}) \otimes (V^0 \cap V_{ij})$ is divisible by H_i^j in the first tensor factor.*

PROOF. By identifying $\mathfrak{g}^*$ with $\mathfrak{g}$ using a G -invariant inner product on $\mathfrak{g}$, we can regard the elements of $\text{gr } M$ as morphisms (polynomial maps) from $\mathfrak{g}$ to V . Then $(\text{gr } M)^G$ is identified with the space of G -equivariant morphisms from $\mathfrak{g}$ to V , and the map $\text{gr } \gamma$ is interpreted as the restriction of these morphisms to $\mathfrak{t}$.

Since generic G -orbits in $\mathfrak{g}$ intersect $\mathfrak{t}$, a G -equivariant morphism $F : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow V$ is uniquely determined by its restriction to $\mathfrak{t}$. This shows that the map $\text{gr } \gamma$ is injective.

Now let $\varphi : \mathfrak{t} \rightarrow V^0$ be a W -equivariant morphism. Let us try to extend it to a G -equivariant morphism from $\mathfrak{g}$ to V . To this end, we will first show that φ can be extended to the subset $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{sr}}$ of semisimple regular elements of $\mathfrak{g}$.

Consider the complement $D = \mathfrak{g} \setminus \mathfrak{g}_{\text{sr}}$. This is the set of the elements $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that the semisimple part of X is not regular, that is the multiplicity of the zero root of the characteristic polynomial $\det(t \cdot 1 - \text{ad} X)$ of $\text{ad} X$ is bigger than $\dim \mathfrak{t}$. Hence D is the divisor defined by the equation $P(X) = 0$, where $P(X)$ is the coefficient of $t^{\dim \mathfrak{t}}$ in the characteristic polynomial of $\text{ad} X$. In particular, $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{sr}}$ is Zariski open in $\mathfrak{g}$.

The geometry of the action of G on $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{sr}}$ is described as follows. Let $\mathfrak{t}_{\text{reg}} = \mathfrak{t} \cap \mathfrak{g}_{\text{sr}}$ be the set of regular elements of $\mathfrak{t}$. Consider the homogeneous fibering

$$G *_{\text{Norm}(\mathbf{T})} \mathfrak{t}_{\text{reg}} = (G \times \mathfrak{t}_{\text{reg}}) / \text{Norm}(\mathbf{T}) \quad (9)$$

where the action of any $n \in \text{Norm}(\mathbf{T})$ on $G \times \mathfrak{t}_{\text{reg}}$ is defined by

$$n : (g, H) \mapsto (gn^{-1}, (\text{Ad} n)H).$$

The quotient in (9) is geometric, see for instance [17, Section 4.8]. Denote by $\langle g, H \rangle$ the element of (9) with a representative $(g, H) \in G \times \mathfrak{t}_{\text{reg}}$. The action of G on $G \times \mathfrak{t}_{\text{reg}}$ defined by

$$g' : (g, H) \mapsto (g'g, H)$$

commutes with the action of $\text{Norm}(\mathbf{T})$ and induces an action of G on the quotient (9). We have a G -equivariant morphism

$$p : G *_{\text{Norm}(\mathbf{T})} \mathfrak{t}_{\text{reg}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\text{sr}} : \langle g, H \rangle \mapsto (\text{Ad} g)H.$$

Since any regular semisimple element of $\mathfrak{g}$ is conjugate by G to an element of $\mathfrak{t}_{\text{reg}}$, and two elements of $\mathfrak{t}_{\text{reg}}$ are G -conjugate if and only if they are W -conjugate, p is an isomorphism of algebraic varieties.

Since the morphism

$$G \times \mathfrak{t}_{\text{reg}} \rightarrow V : (g, H) \mapsto g \varphi(H)$$

sends each $\text{Norm}(\mathbf{T})$ -orbit to one point, it factors through a morphism

$$G *_{\text{Norm}(\mathbf{T})} \mathfrak{t}_{\text{reg}} \rightarrow V : \langle g, H \rangle \mapsto g \varphi(H).$$

Hence there is a morphism $\tilde{F} : \mathfrak{g}_{\text{sr}} \rightarrow V$ such that

$$\tilde{F}((\text{Ad } g)H) = g\varphi(H) \quad \text{for } g \in G \quad \text{and} \quad H \in \mathfrak{t}_{\text{reg}}.$$

Clearly, $\tilde{F}$ is G -equivariant and coincides with φ on $\mathfrak{t}_{\text{reg}}$.

This means that φ can be extended to a G -equivariant rational map $F : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow V$ which is regular (and coincides with $\tilde{F}$) on $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{sr}}$. The question is whether F is regular on the whole of $\mathfrak{g}$. To find out this, we should study the behaviour of F on the irreducible components of the divisor D .

The divisor D can be described as follows. For any $H \in \mathfrak{t}$ denote by $\mathfrak{g}_H$ the set of elements of $\mathfrak{g}$ whose semisimple part is conjugate to H . Then $\mathfrak{g}_H$ is a fiber of the categorical quotient map $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}/G$. Hence $\mathfrak{g}_H$ is an irreducible closed subvariety of codimension $\dim \mathfrak{t}$; see [17, Section 4.4]. It is the closure of the only orbit open in it, namely of the orbit of $H + U$ where U is a regular nilpotent element of the centralizer of H . It follows that the irreducible components of D are

$$D_\alpha = \bigcup_{\substack{H \in \mathfrak{t} \\ \alpha(H)=0}} \mathfrak{g}_H$$

where α runs over representatives of W -orbits in Δ . Note that these representatives can be chosen in the set $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\}$.

Let α_i be any simple root and $D_i = D_{\alpha_i}$. Let C_i be the set

$$\{H - 2E_i \mid H \in \mathfrak{t}, \alpha_i(H) = 0, \alpha(H) \neq 0 \text{ for } \alpha \in \Delta \setminus \{\alpha_i, -\alpha_i\}\}.$$

The subset $(\text{Ad } G)C_i \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is Zariski open in the divisor D_i . If the rational map F has a pole at D_i , then there exists a point $H - 2E_i \in C_i$ such that $F(X)$ tends to infinity every time when $X \in \mathfrak{g}_{\text{sr}}$ tends to $H - 2E_i$. Take such a point and consider the curve

$$X(t) = (\text{Ad}(\exp t^{-1}E_i))(H + tH_i) = H + tH_i - 2E_i.$$

Here $X(t) \in \mathfrak{g}_{\text{sr}}$ for $t \neq 0$, and $X(t)$ tends to $X(0) = H - 2E_i$ when t tends to 0. We have

$$F(X(t)) = (\exp t^{-1}E_i)\varphi(H + tH_i).$$

For the projection $F_{ij}(X(t))$ of $F(X(t))$ to the $\mathfrak{g}_i$ -isotypic component V_{ij} of V , we have

$$F_{ij}(X(t)) = (\exp t^{-1}E_i)\varphi_{ij}(H + tH_i) = \sum_{k=0}^j (t^k k!)^{-1} E_i^k \varphi_{ij}(H + tH_i),$$

where $\varphi_{ij}(H + tH_i)$ stands for the projection of $\varphi(H + tH_i)$ to V_{ij} . Thus $F_{ij}(X(t))$ does not tend to infinity if and only if $\varphi_{ij}(H + tH_i)$ is divisible by t^j . Using our identification of $\mathfrak{t}^*$ with $\mathfrak{t}$, the latter condition means that φ_{ij} is divisible by H_i^j . $\square$

Remark 2. As the proof shows, it suffices to check the divisibility condition of Theorem 2 for simple roots representing all W -orbits in Δ . In particular, if $\mathfrak{g}$ is simple with all roots of the same length, then it suffices to check this condition for one (arbitrary) simple root.

Remark 3. A result of Broer [3] (in its elegant reformulation due to Panyushev [16]) says that the image of $\text{gr } \gamma$ coincides with the space of W -invariant elements of $S(\mathfrak{t}) \otimes V^0$ if and only if 2α is not a weight of V for any $\alpha \in \Delta$. The last condition implies that $V^0 \cap V_{ij} = \{0\}$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$ and $j \geq 2$. If this holds, then the condition of Theorem 2 on $F \in S(\mathfrak{t}) \otimes V^0$ also holds. Indeed, for $j = 1$ the divisibility of the projection of F to $S(\mathfrak{t}) \otimes (V^0 \cap V_{ij})$ by H_i follows from the W -invariance of F . So Theorem 2 gives the sufficiency of Broer's condition. It would be instructive to deduce the necessity of Broer's condition from Theorem 2 as well.

6. Proof of Theorem 1

The injectivity of γ immediately follows from the injectivity of $\text{gr } \gamma$. To prove that the image of γ is the whole of the space Q , it suffices to prove that the image of $\text{gr } \gamma$ contains (and then coincides with) $\text{gr } Q$.

In the notation of Proposition 4, the leading term of Ψ_{ij} is H_i^j , while the leading term of Θ is invariant under s_i . Thus if $z \in Q$, then the leading term of z is invariant under the Weyl group W and its projection to $S(\mathfrak{t}) \otimes (V^0 \cap V_{ij})$ is divisible in the first tensor factor by H_i^j for $i = 1, \dots, r$ and $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. By Theorem 2 this means that the leading term of z lies in the image of $\text{gr } \gamma$. We get Theorem 1.

Remark 4. There is a version of Theorem 1 for disconnected groups. Suppose that the action of G on V extends to a linear action of an algebraic group $\widehat{G}$ containing G as the connected component. Then the action of G on M naturally extends to an action of $\widehat{G}$ leaving M^G invariant, and

$$M^{\widehat{G}} = (M^G)^{\widehat{G}/G}.$$

First let G be semisimple. The adjoint action defines a homomorphism $\widehat{G} \rightarrow \text{Aut } \mathfrak{g}$ to the group of automorphisms of $\mathfrak{g}$. It is well known that $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{g}$ is a semidirect product of the group of inner automorphisms by the (finite) group of diagram automorphisms. Denote by S the inverse image of the latter group in $\widehat{G}$, so that $\widehat{G} = SG$. Then S normalizes T . By definition, S permutes $E_1, \dots, E_r$ and (in the same way) permutes $F_1, \dots, F_r$. Therefore S leaves the subspace $\mathfrak{n}_-M + M\mathfrak{n}_+ \subset M$ invariant, and thus acts on Z . Besides, S preserves the set of denominators (5), which allows us to extend the action of S to $\overline{M}$ and to $\overline{Z}$. Moreover, the elements $\tilde{s}_1, \dots, \tilde{s}_r \in \text{Norm}(T)$ can be chosen so that S permutes them in the same way as it does with $E_1, \dots, E_r$. Then S also permutes the Zhelobenko operators. It also leaves the subspace $Z^0 \subset Z$ invariant. Hence S also leaves the subspace $Q \subset Z$ invariant. The map $\gamma : M^G \rightarrow Z$ is S -equivariant. Thus the image of $M^{\widehat{G}} = (M^G)^S$ under this map is Q^S , the subspace of S -invariant elements of Q .

Now let G be reductive. Then consider the natural homomorphism $\widehat{G} \rightarrow \text{Aut } \mathfrak{g}'$ to the group of automorphisms of the semisimple part $\mathfrak{g}'$ of $\mathfrak{g}$. Denote by R the inverse image in $\widehat{G}$ of the group of diagram automorphisms of $\mathfrak{g}'$. We have $\widehat{G} = RG$. Just as above, the action of R extends to $\overline{M}$ and $\overline{Z}$ so that the image of $M^{\widehat{G}} = (M^G)^R$ under the map γ equals Q^R . The intersection $R \cap G$ coincides with the center of G and acts trivially on Z^0 and on Q . Thus we have an action of the finite group $S = R/(R \cap G)$ on Z^0 and on Q , so that $Q^R = Q^S$. Hence the image of $M^{\widehat{G}}$ under the projection γ equals Q^S .

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to O. Ogievetsky, D. Panyushev and A. Rosly for useful discussions, and to the anonymous referee for helpful remarks. The first author was supported by the RFBR grant 08-01-00667, joint grant 09-02-90493, and the grant for Support of Scientific Schools 3036-2008-2. The second author was supported by the EPSRC grant C511166. The third author was supported by the RFBR grant 09-01-00648.

References

- [1] T. Akasaka and M. Kashiwara, *Finite-dimensional representations of quantum affine algebras*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **33** (1997), 839–867.
- [2] R. Asherova, Y. Smirnov and V. Tolstoy, *A description of certain class of projection operators for complex semisimple Lie algebras*, Math. Notes **26** (1980), 499–504.
- [3] A. Broer, *The sum of generalized exponents and Chevalley’s restriction theorem for modules of covariants*, Indag. Math. **6** (1995), 385–396.
- [4] I. Cherednik, *A new interpretation of Gelfand-Tsetlin bases*, Duke Math. J. **54** (1987), 563–577.
- [5] P. Etingof and A. Varchenko, *Dynamical Weyl groups and applications*, Adv. Math. **167** (2002), 74–127.
- [6] Harish-Chandra, *On some applications of the universal enveloping algebra of a semisimple Lie algebra*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **70** (1951), 28–96.
- [7] S. Khoroshkin and M. Nazarov, *Yangians and Mickelsson algebras I*, Transformation Groups **11** (2006), 625–658.
- [8] S. Khoroshkin and M. Nazarov, *Mickelsson algebras and representations of Yangians*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear).
- [9] S. Khoroshkin and O. Ogievetsky, *Mickelsson algebras and Zhelobenko operators*, J. Algebra **319** (2008), 2113–2165.
- [10] A. Knap and E. Stein, *Intertwining operators for semi-simple Lie groups*, Ann. Math. **93** (1971), 489–578.
- [11] B. Kostant and J. Tirao, *On the structure of certain subalgebras of a universal enveloping algebra*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **218** (1976), 133–154.
- [12] J. Mickelsson, *Step algebras of semi-simple subalgebras of Lie algebras*, Rep. Math. Phys. **4** (1973), 307–318.
- [13] A. Molev, *Yangians and classical Lie algebras*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 2007.
- [14] M. Nazarov and V. Tarasov, *On irreducibility of tensor products of Yangian modules*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices (1998), 125–150.
- [15] H. Oda, *Generalization of Harish-Chandras basic theorem for Riemannian symmetric spaces of non-compact type*, Adv. Math. **208** (2007), 549–596.
- [16] D. Panyushev, *Weight multiplicity free representations, $\mathfrak{g}$ -endomorphism algebras, and Dynkin polynomials*, J. London Math. Soc. **69** (2004), 273–290.
- [17] V. Popov and E. Vinberg, *Invariant theory*, Encyclopaedia of Math. Sci. **55** (1994), 123–284.
- [18] V. Tarasov and A. Varchenko, *Duality for Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov and dynamical equations*, Acta Appl. Math. **73** (2002), 141–154.
- [19] J. Tits, *Normalisateurs de tores I. Groupes de Coxeter étendu*, J. Algebra **4** (1966), 96–116.
- [20] D. Zhelobenko, *Extremal cocycles on Weyl groups*, Funct. Anal. Appl. **21** (1987), 183–192.